

ALGORITHM 496

The LZ Algorithm To Solve the Generalized Eigenvalue Problem for Complex Matrices [F2]

LINDA KAUFMAN

University of Colorado

Key Words and Phrases: eigenvalues, generalized eigenvalue problem

CR Categories: 5.14

Language: Fortran

DESCRIPTION

The program given here is an implementation of the LZ algorithm [1] for finding \mathbf{x} and λ such that $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda B\mathbf{x}$, where A and B are $n \times n$ matrices. The LZ algorithm does not require matrix inversion and may be used when either or both matrices are singular. It is a generalization of Rutishauser's LR method [3] for the standard eigenvalue problem $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}$ and closely resembles the QZ algorithm given by Moler and Stewart [2] for the generalized problem given above. Since the LZ algorithm uses elementary transformations and the QZ algorithm uses orthogonal transformations, the LZ algorithm is probably more efficient when either A or B is complex.

The LZ algorithm is based on three observations:

(1) If L and M are nonsingular matrices, the eigenvalue problems $LAM\mathbf{y} = \lambda LBM\mathbf{y}$ and $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda B\mathbf{x}$ have the same eigenvalues and their eigenvectors are related by $\mathbf{x} = M\mathbf{y}$.

(2) If A is a triangular matrix with diagonal elements α_i , and B is a triangular matrix with diagonal elements β_i , then for each i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, α_i/β_i is an eigenvalue of the generalized problem if $\beta_i \neq 0$.

(3) There exist matrices L and M such that LAM and LBM are upper triangular and L and M are the products of lower triangular and permutation matrices.

The aim of the LZ algorithm is to find the matrices L and M which reduce A and B simultaneously to triangular form. It accomplishes this aim in two phases.

(1) The first phase simultaneously reduces A to upper Hessenberg form (i.e. $a_{i,j} = 0$ for $i > j$) and B to upper triangular form by (a) reducing B to triangular form as in Gaussian elimination and applying the transformations to A , and (b) reducing A to upper Hessenberg form while preserving the triangularity of B by

Received 21 June 1973, 6 December 1974, and 15 April 1975.

Copyright © 1975, Association for Computing Machinery, Inc. General permission to republish, but not for profit, all or part of this material is granted provided that ACM's copyright notice is given and that reference is made to the publication, to its date of issue, and to the fact that reprinting privileges were granted by permission of the Association for Computing Machinery.

Author's address: Department of Computer Science, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80302.

ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, Vol. 1, No. 3, September 1975, Pages 271-281

using row transformations to zero the elements below the subdiagonal of A and column transformations to zero the subdiagonal elements of B introduced by the row transformations.

(2) The second phase iteratively reduces A to upper triangular form while preserving the triangularity of B where each iteration is given by (a) finding a shift λ_k , (b) finding a stabilized transformation \tilde{L}_k such that $\tilde{L}_k(A_k - \lambda_k B_k)$ is upper triangular, (c) finding a stabilized transformation \tilde{M}_k such that $\tilde{L}_k B_k \tilde{M}_k$ is upper triangular, (d) setting $A_{k+1} = \tilde{L}_k A_k \tilde{M}_k$ and $B_{k+1} = \tilde{L}_k B_k \tilde{M}_k$.

If \tilde{L}_k and \tilde{M}_k are constructed appropriately, A_{k+1} will be upper Hessenberg and hopefully some of its subdiagonal elements will be small enough to be considered zero.

The shift λ_k is used to hasten the convergence of the algorithm. In practice it is set to the solution of the lowest 2×2 subproblem on the diagonal of $A_k - \lambda B_k$ which has not been triangularized.

Each matrix \tilde{L}_k is a product of matrices $L_{k,n-1} L_{k,n-2} \dots L_{k,2} L_{k,1}$ and each \tilde{M}_k is a product of matrices $M_{k,1} M_{k,2} \dots M_{k,n-1}$, where each $L_{k,i}$ and each $M_{k,i}$ is a stabilized elementary transformation (see [4]). The matrix $L_{k,1}$ is designed to annihilate the $(2, 1)$ element of $A_k - \lambda_k B_k$. For $i \geq 1$, $M_{k,i}$ zeros the i th subdiagonal element of B introduced by $L_{k,i}$, and for $i > 1$, $L_{k,i}$ zeros the $(i + 1, i - 1)$ -th element of A introduced by $M_{k,i-1}$.

The LZ algorithm determines the eigenvectors of the problem by computing the eigenvectors of the triangularized A and B and multiplying them by the product of all the column transformations.

The Fortran program given here is designed to find the eigensystem for two complex matrices A and B and consists of two subroutines which must be called separately. A user should first issue a call to LZHES to reduce A to upper Hessenberg form and B to triangular form and then issue a call to LZHES to determine the eigensystem of the reduced matrices. If A and B have already been reduced to Hessenberg and triangular form, then calling LZHES is unnecessary. In this situation, if eigenvectors are requested, the matrix X should be set to the identity matrix. The subroutine LZIT does not return the eigenvalues of the problem but the diagonal elements of the triangularized matrices. The i th eigenvalue may be found by dividing EIGA(i) by EIGB(i). The user is warned that EIGB(i) may be zero. The eigenvectors produced by LZIT are normalized so that the modulus of their largest component is 1.

Entrance to LZHES is attained by the statement

```
CALL LZHES(N,A,NA,B,NB,X,NX,WANTX)
```

where the input parameters are

N	order of the A and B matrices,
A	$n \times n$ complex matrix,
NA	row dimension of A,
B	$n \times n$ complex matrix,
NB	row dimension of B,
NX	row dimension of X (see output parameters)
WANTX	logical variable which should be set to .TRUE. if eigenvectors are wanted and otherwise set to .FALSE.,

and the output parameters are

- A complex upper Hessenberg matrix; the original A matrix is destroyed,
- B complex upper triangular matrix; the original B matrix is destroyed,
- X $n \times n$ complex array containing the transformations needed to compute the eigenvectors of the problem if WANTX was .TRUE. .

Entrance to LZIT is attained by the statement

```
CALL LZIT(N,A,NA,B,NB,X,NX,WANTX,ITER,EIGA,EIGB)
```

where the input parameters are

- N order of the A and B matrices,
- A $n \times n$ complex upper Hessenberg matrix,
- NA row dimension of the A matrix,
- B $n \times n$ complex upper triangular matrix,
- NB row dimension of the B matrix,
- X $n \times n$ complex array containing the transformations to determine the eigenvectors of the original problem if WANTX has been set to .TRUE. ,
- NX row dimension of the X matrix,
- WANTX logical variable which should be set to .TRUE. if eigenvectors are wanted and otherwise set to .FALSE. ,

and the output parameters are

- X $n \times n$ complex array whose i th column contains the i th eigenvectors if WANTX was set to .TRUE. ,
- ITER integer array of length n whose i th entry contains the number of iterations needed to find the i th eigenvalue; for any i , if $iter(i) = -1$, then after 30 iterations there was not a sufficient decrease in the last subdiagonal element of A to continue iterating so that not all the eigenvalues have been isolated,
- EIGA complex array of length n containing the diagonal of the triangularized A,
- EIGB complex array of length n containing the diagonal of B.

Since $|x|$, where x is a complex scalar, must be computed at least $8n$ times per iteration, the execution time of the method is dependent on the algorithm used to compute $|x|$. Replacing the true modulus by an approximation has little effect on the numerical properties but may result in a significantly more efficient code. Several versions of the LZ algorithm with different methods for computing $|x|$ were run on the IBM 360 model 67 with the Fortran H compiler $opt=2$. The results were interesting:

(1) The procedure CABS supplied by the manufacturer to compute $|x|$ was by far the slowest.

(2) Substituting the procedure RABS given by

```
REAL FUNCTION RABS(X)
COMPLEX X, XX
REAL T(2)
EQUIVALENCE (XX, T(1))
XX = X
RABS = ABS(T(1)) + ABS(T(2))
RETURN
END
```

for CABS decreased the time by about 20 percent when no eigenvectors were computed. When using RABS, changing the precision of the program requires very little effort.

(3) Computing $|x|$ as $ABS(REAL(X)) + ABS(AIMAG(X))$ as in the program given here decreased execution times from version 2 by roughly 10 percent when no eigenvectors were computed.

Although theoretically numerical growth can be quite large, our experiments have shown that the algorithm behaves like most other methods which use stabilized elementary transformations: numerical growth rarely occurs and errors rarely accumulate. The eigenvalues are usually determined to the accuracy justified by the condition of the problem.

THE MATRIX A:

-238+	-344I	86+	178I	164+	240I	-166+	-308I	56+	158I
76+	152I	-96+	-128I	40+	-32I	60+	184I	-60+	-136I
118+	284I	55+	-182I	-13+	460I	34+	-192I	-176+	-214I
-314+	-160I	132+	78I	114+	296I	-90+	-164I	-424+	-374I
-54+	-24I	-205+	-400I	109+	148I	158+	312I	-38+	-96I

THE MATRIX B:

388+	94I	-386+	-122I	-250+	-14I	556+	130I	-396+	-62I
-304+	-76I	384+	64I	-160+	16I	-240+	-92I	240+	68I
-658+	-136I	-73+	100I	-109+	-250I	-118+	100I	406+	96I
-640+	-10I	204+	-42I	-692+	-90I	288+	66I	-192+	154I
-162+	-72I	631+	158I	131+	52I	-758+	-184I	278+	76I

TRUE EIGENVALUE

1	7.6470588235294E-01+	9.4117647058823E-01 I
2	-1.0000000000000E+00+	-1.3333333333333E+00 I
3	-3.5294117647059E-01+	-4.1176470588235E-01 I
4	-3.5294117647059E-01+	-4.1176470588235E-01 I
5	-3.5294117647059E-01+	4.1176470588235E-01 I

ALPHA

1	-3.1059278060929E+02+	-1.2380967798794E+03 I
2	3.9432113020788E+01+	1.8552048946044E+02 I
3	3.4303578717787E+02+	3.6267003407891E+01 I
4	4.0331923195321E+01+	-4.1475768275521E+02 I
5	-2.6483904149705E+01+	-1.2383248679301E+02 I

BETA

1	-9.5389018503961E+02+	-4.4503094594735E+02 I
2	-1.0324539562850E+02+	-4.7859961955782E+01 I
3	-4.6241674938452E+02+	4.3672969795956E+02 I
4	5.3226244802292E+02+	5.5417391177970E+02 I
5	-1.4158479653056E+02+	1.8567644996121E+02 I

COMPUTED EIGENVALUE

1	7.6470588235296E-01+	9.4117647058821E-01 I
2	-1.0000000000000E+00+	-1.3333333333333E+00 I
3	-3.5294117647059E-01+	-4.1176470588233E-01 I
4	-3.5294117647058E-01+	-4.1176470588236E-01 I
5	-3.5294117647058E-01+	4.1176470588232E-01 I

	RELATIVE ERROR	RELATIVE RESIDUAL	NO. OF ITERATIONS
1	2.7009971587330E-14	4.3138589276375E-15	0
2	6.0291550413457E-15	6.7886927362343E-15	1
3	3.9441522601135E-14	2.9742630452889E-15	0
4	1.1809767484717E-14	6.0057593010225E-15	3
5	5.6542864065059E-14	8.3080870692588E-15	1

Fig. 1

The example shown in Figure 1 was generated in integer arithmetic by multiplying two diagonal matrices by nonsingular transformations. It was run on the CDC Run compiler. The relative residual is the quantity,

$$\| \beta_i A x_i - \alpha_i B x_i \|_\infty / (\| \beta_i \| \| A \|_\infty + \| \alpha_i \| \| B \|_\infty)$$

where α_i and β_i are the i th diagonal elements of the triangularized A and B matrices and x_i is the i th eigenvector.

REFERENCES

1. KAUFMAN, L.C. The LZ algorithm to solve the generalized eigenvalue problem. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 11 (Oct. 1974), 997-1023.
2. MOLER, C.B., AND STEWART, G.W. An algorithm for the generalized matrix eigenvalue problem. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 10 (April 1973), 241-256.
3. RUTISHAUSER, H. *Solution of the eigenvalue problem with the LR transformation*. Nat. Bur. Standards Appl. Math. Ser. 49, U.S. Govt. Printing Office, Washington, D.C., Jan. 1958.
4. WILKINSON, J.H. *The Algebraic Eigenvalue Problem*. Oxford U. Press, New York, 1965.

ALGORITHM

```

      SUBROUTINE LZHS(N, A, NA, B, NB, X, NX, WANTX)           LZH  10
C THIS SUBROUTINE REDUCES THE COMPLEX MATRIX A TO UPPER     LZH  20
C HESSENBERG FORM AND REDUCES THE COMPLEX MATRIX B TO      LZH  30
C TRIANGULAR FORM                                           LZH  40
C INPUT PARAMETERS..                                        LZH  50
C N THE ORDER OF THE A AND B MATRICES                      LZH  60
C A A COMPLEX MATRIX                                       LZH  70
C NA THE ROW DIMENSION OF THE A MATRIX                     LZH  80
C B A COMPLEX MATRIX                                       LZH  90
C NB THE ROW DIMENSION OF THE B MATRIX                     LZH 100
C NX THE ROW DIMENSION OF THE X MATRIX                     LZH 110
C WANTX A LOGICAL VARIABLE WHICH IS SET TO .TRUE. IF      LZH 120
C THE EIGENVECTORS ARE WANTED. OTHERWISE IT SHOULD       LZH 130
C BE SET TO .FALSE.                                       LZH 140
C OUTPUT PARAMETERS..                                     LZH 150
C A ON OUTPUT A IS AN UPPER HESSENBERG MATRIX, THE       LZH 160
C ORIGINAL MATRIX HAS BEEN DESTROYED                      LZH 170
C B AN UPPER TRIANGULAR MATRIX, THE ORIGINAL MATRIX      LZH 180
C HAS BEEN DESTROYED                                       LZH 190
C X CONTAINS THE TRANSFORMATIONS NEEDED TO COMPUTE       LZH 200
C THE EIGENVECTORS OF THE ORIGINAL SYSTEM                LZH 210
C COMPLEX Y, W, Z, A(NA,N), B(NB,N), X(NX,N)             LZH 220
C REAL C, D                                               LZH 230
C LOGICAL WANTX                                           LZH 240
C NML = N - 1                                             LZH 250
C REDUCE B TO TRIANGULAR FORM USING ELEMENTARY           LZH 260
C TRANSFORMATIONS                                         LZH 270
      DO 80 I=1,NML                                         LZH 280
        D = 0.00                                           LZH 290
        IPI = I + 1                                        LZH 300
        DO 10 K=IPI,N                                       LZH 310
          Y = B(K,I)                                       LZH 320
          C = ABS(REAL(Y)) + ABS(AIMAG(Y))                 LZH 330
          IF (C.LE.D) GO TO 10                             LZH 340
          D = C                                             LZH 350
          II = K                                           LZH 360
10    CONTINUE                                           LZH 370
        IF (D.EQ.0.0) GO TO 80                             LZH 380
        Y = B(I,I)                                         LZH 390
        IF (D.LE.ABS(REAL(Y))+ABS(AIMAG(Y))) GO TO 40     LZH 400

```

C MUST INTERCHANGE		LZH 410
DO 20 J=1,N		LZH 420
Y = A(I,J)		LZH 430
A(I,J) = A(II,J)		LZH 440
A(II,J) = Y		LZH 450
20 CONTINUE		LZH 460
DO 30 J=I,N		LZH 470
Y = B(I,J)		LZH 480
B(I,J) = B(II,J)		LZH 490
B(II,J) = Y		LZH 500
30 CONTINUE		LZH 510
40 DO 70 J=IPL,N		LZH 520
Y = B(J,I)/B(I,I)		LZH 530
IF (REAL(Y).EQ.0.0 .AND. AIMAG(Y).EQ.0.0) GO TO 70		LZH 540
DO 50 K=1,N		LZH 550
A(J,K) = A(J,K) - Y*A(I,K)		LZH 560
50 CONTINUE		LZH 570
DO 60 K=IPL,N		LZH 580
B(J,K) = B(J,K) - Y*B(I,K)		LZH 590
60 CONTINUE		LZH 600
70 CONTINUE		LZH 610
B(IPL,I) = (0.0,0.0)		LZH 620
80 CONTINUE		LZH 630
C INITIALIZE X		LZH 640
IF (.NOT.WANTX) GO TO 110		LZH 650
DO 100 I=1,N		LZH 660
DO 90 J=1,N		LZH 670
X(I,J) = (0.0,0.0)		LZH 680
90 CONTINUE		LZH 690
X(I,I) = (1.0,0.00)		LZH 700
100 CONTINUE		LZH 710
C REDUCE A TO UPPER HESSENBERG FORM		LZH 720
110 NM2 = N - 2		LZH 730
IF (NM2.LT.1) GO TO 270		LZH 740
DO 260 J=1,NM2		LZH 750
JM2 = NM1 - J		LZH 760
JPL = J + 1		LZH 770
DO 250 II=1,JM2		LZH 780
I = N + 1 - II		LZH 790
IM1 = I - 1		LZH 800
IMJ = I - J		LZH 810
W = A(I,J)		LZH 820
Z = A(IM1,J)		LZH 830
IF (ABS(REAL(W))+ABS(AIMAG(W)) .LE. ABS(REAL(Z))		LZH 840
* +ABS(AIMAG(Z))) GO TO 140		LZH 850
C MUST INTERCHANGE ROWS		LZH 860
DO 120 K=J,N		LZH 870
Y = A(I,K)		LZH 880
A(I,K) = A(IM1,K)		LZH 890
A(IM1,K) = Y		LZH 900
120 CONTINUE		LZH 910
DO 130 K=IM1,N		LZH 920
Y = B(I,K)		LZH 930
B(I,K) = B(IM1,K)		LZH 940
B(IM1,K) = Y		LZH 950
130 CONTINUE		LZH 960
140 Z = A(I,J)		LZH 970
IF (REAL(Z).EQ.0.0 .AND. AIMAG(Z).EQ.0.0) GO TO 170		LZH 980
Y = Z/A(IM1,J)		LZH 990
DO 150 K=JPL,N		LZH 1000
A(I,K) = A(I,K) - Y*A(IM1,K)		LZH 1010
150 CONTINUE		LZH 1020
DO 160 K=IM1,N		LZH 1030
B(I,K) = B(I,K) - Y*B(IM1,K)		LZH 1040
160 CONTINUE		LZH 1050
C TRANSFORMATION FROM THE RIGHT		LZH 1060
170 W = B(I,IM1)		LZH 1070
Z = B(I,I)		LZH 1080
IF (ABS(REAL(W))+ABS(AIMAG(W)) .LE. ABS(REAL(Z))		LZH 1090
* +ABS(AIMAG(Z))) GO TO 210		LZH 1100
C MUST INTERCHANGE COLUMNS		LZH 1110
DO 180 K=1,I		LZH 1120
Y = B(K,I)		LZH 1130
B(K,I) = B(K,IM1)		LZH 1140
B(K,IM1) = Y		LZH 1150

```

180 CONTINUE LZH 1160
    DO 190 K=1,N LZH 1170
        Y = A(K,I) LZH 1180
        A(K,I) = A(K,IM1) LZH 1190
        A(K,IM1) = Y LZH 1200
190 CONTINUE LZH 1210
    IF (.NOT.WANTX) GO TO 210 LZH 1220
    DO 200 K=IMJ,N LZH 1230
        Y = X(K,I) LZH 1240
        X(K,I) = X(K,IM1) LZH 1250
        X(K,IM1) = Y LZH 1260
200 CONTINUE LZH 1270
210 Z = B(I,IM1) LZH 1280
    IF (REAL(Z).EQ.0.0 .AND. AIMAG(Z).EQ.0.0) GO TO 250 LZH 1290
    Y = Z/B(I,I) LZH 1300
    DO 220 K=1,IM1 LZH 1310
        B(K,IM1) = B(K,IM1) - Y*B(K,I) LZH 1320
220 CONTINUE LZH 1330
    B(I,IM1) = (0.0,0.0) LZH 1340
    DO 230 K=1,N LZH 1350
        A(K,IM1) = A(K,IM1) - Y*A(K,I) LZH 1360
230 CONTINUE LZH 1370
    IF (.NOT.WANTX) GO TO 250 LZH 1380
    DO 240 K=IMJ,N LZH 1390
        X(K,IM1) = X(K,IM1) - Y*X(K,I) LZH 1400
240 CONTINUE LZH 1410
250 CONTINUE LZH 1420
    A(JP1+1,J) = (0.0,0.0) LZH 1430
260 CONTINUE LZH 1440
270 RETURN LZH 1450
    END LZH 1460

```

```

        SUBROUTINE LZIT(N, A, NA, B, NB, X, NX, WANTX, ITER, EIGA, LZI 10
        * EIGB) LZI 20
C THIS SUBROUTINE SOLVES THE GENERALIZED EIGENVALUE PROBLEM LZI 30
C A X = LAMBDA B X LZI 40
C WHERE A IS A COMPLEX UPPER HESSENBERG MATRIX OF LZI 50
C ORDER N AND B IS A COMPLEX UPPER TRIANGULAR MATRIX OF ORDER N LZI 60
C INPUT PARAMETERS LZI 70
C N ORDER OF A AND B LZI 80
C A AN N X N UPPER HESSENBERG COMPLEX MATRIX LZI 90
C NA THE ROW DIMENSION OF THE A MATRIX LZI 100
C B AN N X N UPPER TRIANGULAR COMPLEX MATRIX LZI 110
C NB THE ROW DIMENSION OF THE B MATRIX LZI 120
C X CONTAINS TRANSFORMATIONS TO OBTAIN EIGENVECTORS OF LZI 130
C ORIGINAL SYSTEM. IF EIGENVECTORS ARE REQUESTED AND QZHEB LZI 140
C IS NOT CALLED, X SHOULD BE SET TO THE IDENTITY MATRIX LZI 150
C NX THE ROW DIMENSION OF THE X MATRIX LZI 160
C WANTX LOGICAL VARIABLE WHICH SHOULD BE SET TO .TRUE. LZI 170
C IF EIGENVECTORS ARE WANTED. OTHERWISE IT LZI 180
C SHOULD BE SET TO .FALSE. LZI 190
C OUTPUT PARAMETERS LZI 200
C X THE ITH COLUMN CONTAINS THE ITH EIGENVECTOR LZI 210
C IF EIGENVECTORS ARE REQUESTED LZI 220
C ITER AN INTEGER ARRAY OF LENGTH N WHOSE ITH ENTRY LZI 230
C CONTAINS THE NUMBER OF ITERATIONS NEEDED TO FIND LZI 240
C THE ITH EIGENVALUE. FOR ANY I IF ITER(I) =-1 THEN LZI 250
C AFTER 30 ITERATIONS THERE HAS NOT BEEN A SUFFICIENT LZI 260
C DECREASE IN THE LAST SUBDIAGONAL ELEMENT OF A LZI 270
C TO CONTINUE ITERATING. LZI 280
C EIGA A COMPLEX ARRAY OF LENGTH N CONTAINING THE DIAGONAL OF A LZI 290
C EIGB A COMPLEX ARRAY OF LENGTH N CONTAINING THE DIAGONAL OF B LZI 300
C THE ITH EIGENVALUE CAN BE FOUND BY DIVIDING EIGA(I) BY LZI 310
C EIGB(I). WATCH OUT FOR EIGB(I) BEING ZERO LZI 320
    COMPLEX A(NA,N), B(NB,N), EIGA(N), EIGB(N) LZI 330
    COMPLEX S, w, Y, Z, CSQRT LZI 340
    COMPLEX X(NX,N) LZI 350
    INTEGER ITER(N) LZI 360
    COMPLEX ANNM1, ALFM, BETM, D, SL, DEN, NUM, ANM1M1 LZI 370
    REAL EPSA, EPSB, SS, R, ANORM, BNORM, ANI, BNI, C LZI 380
    REAL D0, D1, D2, E0, E1 LZI 390
    LOGICAL WANTX LZI 400
    NN = N LZI 410

```

```

C COMPUTE THE MACHINE PRECISION TIMES THE NORM OF A AND B
ANORM = 0.
BNORM = 0.
DO 30 I=1,N
  ANI = 0.
  IF (I.EQ.1) GO TO 10
  Y = A(I,I-1)
  ANI = ANI + ABS(REAL(Y)) + ABS(AIMAG(Y))
10  BNI = 0.
  DO 20 J=I,N
    ANI = ANI + ABS(REAL(A(I,J))) + ABS(AIMAG(A(I,J)))
    BNI = BNI + ABS(REAL(B(I,J))) + ABS(AIMAG(B(I,J)))
20  CONTINUE
  IF (ANI.GT.ANORM) ANORM = ANI
  IF (BNI.GT.BNORM) BNORM = BNI
30  CONTINUE
  IF (ANORM.EQ.0.) ANORM = 1.0
  IF (BNORM.EQ.0.) BNORM = 1.0
  EPSB = BNORM
  EPSA = ANORM
40  EPSA = EPSA/2.0
  EPSB = EPSB/2.0
  C = ANORM + EPSA
  IF (C.GT.ANORM) GO TO 40
  IF (N.LE.1) GO TO 320
50  ITS = 0
  NM1 = NN - 1
C CHECK FOR NEGLIGIBLE SUBDIAGONAL ELEMENTS
60  D2 = ABS(REAL(A(NN,NN))) + ABS(AIMAG(A(NN,NN)))
  DO 70 LB=2,NN
    L = NN + 2 - LB
    SS = D2
    Y = A(L-1,L-1)
    D2 = ABS(REAL(Y)) + ABS(AIMAG(Y))
    SS = SS + D2
    Y = A(L,L-1)
    R = SS + ABS(REAL(Y)) + ABS(AIMAG(Y))
    IF (R.EQ.SS) GO TO 80
70  CONTINUE
  L = 1
80  IF (L.EQ.NN) GO TO 320
  IF (ITS.LT.30) GO TO 90
  ITER(NN) = -1
  IF (ABS(REAL(A(NN,NM1))) + ABS(AIMAG(A(NN,NM1))) .GT. 0.8 *
    * ABS(REAL(ANNM1)) + ABS(AIMAG(ANNM1))) RETURN
90  IF (ITS.EQ.10 .OR. ITS.EQ.20) GO TO 110
C COMPUTE SHIFT AS EIGENVALUE OF LOWER 2 BY 2
ANNM1 = A(NN,NM1)
ANM1M1 = A(NM1,NM1)
S = A(NN,NN)*B(NM1,NM1) - ANNM1*B(NM1,NN)
W = ANNM1*B(NN,NN)*(A(NM1,NN)*B(NM1,NM1) - B(NM1,NN)*ANM1M1)
Y = (ANM1M1*B(NN,NN) - S)/2.
Z = CSQRT(Y*Y+W)
IF (REAL(Z).EQ.0.0 .AND. AIMAG(Z).EQ.0.0) GO TO 100
D0 = REAL(Y/Z)
IF (D0.LT.0.0) Z = -Z
100 DEN = (Y+Z)*B(NM1,NM1)*B(NN,NN)
IF (REAL(DEN).EQ.0.0 .AND. AIMAG(DEN).EQ.0.0) DEN =
* CMPLX(EPSA,0.0)
NUM = (Y+Z)*S - W
GO TO 120
C AD-HOC SHIFT
110 Y = A(NM1,NN-2)
NUM = CMPLX(ABS(REAL(ANNM1)) + ABS(AIMAG(ANNM1)), ABS(REAL(Y))
* +ABS(AIMAG(Y)))
DEN = (1.0,0.0)
C CHECK FOR 2 CONSECUTIVE SMALL SUBDIAGONAL ELEMENTS
120 IF (NN.EQ.L+1) GO TO 140
D2 = ABS(REAL(A(NM1,NM1))) + ABS(AIMAG(A(NM1,NM1)))
E1 = ABS(REAL(ANNM1)) + ABS(AIMAG(ANNM1))
D1 = ABS(REAL(A(NN,NN))) + ABS(AIMAG(A(NN,NN)))
NL = NN - (L+1)
DO 130 MB=1,NL
  M = NN - MB
  E0 = E1

```

```

      Y = A(M,M-1)
      E1 = ABS(REAL(Y)) + ABS(AIMAG(Y))
      D0 = D1
      D1 = D2
      Y = A(M-1,M-1)
      D2 = ABS(REAL(Y)) + ABS(AIMAG(Y))
      Y = A(M,M)*DEN - B(M,M)*NUM
      D0 = (D0+D1+D2)*(ABS(REAL(Y))+ABS(AIMAG(Y)))
      E0 = E0+E1*(ABS(REAL(DEN))+ABS(AIMAG(DEN))) + D0
      IF (E0.EQ.D0) GO TO 150
130 CONTINUE
140 M = L
150 CONTINUE
      ITS = ITS + 1
      W = A(M,M)*DEN - B(M,M)*NUM
      Z = A(M+1,M)*DEN
      D1 = ABS(REAL(Z)) + ABS(AIMAG(Z))
      D2 = ABS(REAL(W)) + ABS(AIMAG(W))
C FIND L AND M AND SET A=LAM AND B=LBM
      NP1 = N + 1
      LOR1 = L
      NNORN = NN
      IF (.NOT.WANTX) GO TO 160
      LOR1 = 1
      NNORN = N
160 DO 310 I=M,NM1
      J = I + 1
C FIND ROW TRANSFORMATIONS TO RESTORE A TO
C UPPER HESSENBERG FORM. APPLY TRANSFORMATIONS
C TO A AND B
      IF (I.EQ.M) GO TO 170
      W = A(I,I-1)
      Z = A(J,I-1)
      D1 = ABS(REAL(Z)) + ABS(AIMAG(Z))
      D2 = ABS(REAL(W)) + ABS(AIMAG(W))
      IF (D1.EQ.0.0) GO TO 60
170 IF (D2.GT.D1) GO TO 190
C MUST INTERCHANGE ROWS
      DO 180 K=I,NNORN
      Y = A(I,K)
      A(I,K) = A(J,K)
      A(J,K) = Y
      Y = B(I,K)
      B(I,K) = B(J,K)
      B(J,K) = Y
180 CONTINUE
      IF (I.GT.M) A(I,I-1) = A(J,I-1)
      IF (D2.EQ.0.0) GO TO 220
C THE SCALING OF W AND Z IS DESIGNED TO AVOID A DIVISION BY ZERO
C WHEN THE DENOMINATOR IS SMALL
      Y = CMPLX(REAL(W)/D1,AIMAG(W)/D1)/CMPLX(REAL(Z)/D1,AIMAG(Z)/
      * D1)
      GO TO 200
190 Y = CMPLX(REAL(Z)/D2,AIMAG(Z)/D2)/CMPLX(REAL(W)/D2,AIMAG(W)/
      * D2)
200 DO 210 K=I,NNORN
      A(J,K) = A(J,K) - Y*A(I,K)
      B(J,K) = B(J,K) - Y*B(I,K)
210 CONTINUE
      IF (I.GT.M) A(J,I-1) = (0.0,0.0)
C PERFORM TRANSFORMATIONS FROM RIGHT TO RESTORE B TO
C TRIANGULAR FORM
C APPLY TRANSFORMATIONS TO A
220 Z = B(J,I)
      W = B(J,J)
      D2 = ABS(REAL(W)) + ABS(AIMAG(W))
      D1 = ABS(REAL(Z)) + ABS(AIMAG(Z))
      IF (D1.EQ.0.0) GO TO 60
      IF (D2.GT.D1) GO TO 270
C MUST INTERCHANGE COLUMNS
      DO 230 K=LOR1,J
      Y = A(K,J)
      A(K,J) = A(K,I)
      A(K,I) = Y
      Y = B(K,J)
      B(K,J) = B(K,I)
      B(K,I) = Y

```

```

230 CONTINUE
    IF (I.EQ.NM1) GO TO 240
    Y = A(J+1,J)
    A(J+1,J) = A(J+1,I)
    A(J+1,I) = Y
240 IF (.NOT.WANTX) GO TO 260
    DO 250 K=1,N
        Y = X(K,J)
        X(K,J) = X(K,I)
        X(K,I) = Y
250 CONTINUE
260 IF (D2.EQ.0.0) GO TO 310
    Z = CMLPX(REAL(W)/D1,AIMAG(W)/D1)/CMLPX(REAL(Z)/D1,AIMAG(Z)/
*   D1)
    GO TO 280
270 Z = CMLPX(REAL(Z)/D2,AIMAG(Z)/D2)/CMLPX(REAL(W)/D2,AIMAG(W)/
*   D2)
280 DO 290 K=LOR1,J
    A(K,I) = A(K,I) - Z*A(K,J)
    B(K,I) = B(K,I) - Z*B(K,J)
290 CONTINUE
    B(J,I) = (0.0,0.0)
    IF (I.LT.NM1) A(I+2,I) = A(I+2,I) - Z*A(I+2,J)
    IF (.NOT.WANTX) GO TO 310
    DO 300 K=1,N
        X(K,I) = X(K,I) - Z*X(K,J)
300 CONTINUE
310 CONTINUE
    GO TO 60
320 CONTINUE
    EIGA(NN) = A(NN,NN)
    EIGB(NN) = B(NN,NN)
    IF (NN.EQ.1) GO TO 330
    ITER(NN) = ITS
    NN = NM1
    IF (NN.GT.1) GO TO 50
    ITER(1) = 0
    GO TO 320
C FIND EIGENVECTORS USING B FOR INTERMEDIATE STORAGE
330 IF (.NOT.WANTX) RETURN
    M = N
340 CONTINUE
    ALFM = A(M,M)
    BETM = B(M,M)
    B(M,M) = (1.0,0.0)
    L = M - 1
    IF (L.EQ.0) GO TO 370
350 CONTINUE
    LL = L + 1
    SL = (0.0,0.0)
    DO 360 J=LL,M
        SL = SL + (BETM*A(L,J)-ALFM*B(L,J))*B(J,M)
360 CONTINUE
    Y = BETM*A(L,L) - ALFM*B(L,L)
    IF (REAL(Y).EQ.0.0 .AND. AIMAG(Y).EQ.0.0) Y =
*   CMLPX((EPSA+EPSB)/2.0,0.0)
    B(L,M) = -SL/Y
    L = L - 1
370 IF (L.GT.0) GO TO 350
    M = M - 1
    IF (M.GT.0) GO TO 340
C TRANSFORM TO ORIGINAL COORDINATE SYSTEM
    M = N
380 CONTINUE
    DO 400 I=1,N
        S = (0.0,0.0)
        DO 390 J=1,M
            S = S + X(I,J)*B(J,M)
390 CONTINUE
        X(I,M) = S
400 CONTINUE
    M = M - 1
    IF (M.GT.0) GO TO 380
C NORMALIZE SO THAT LARGEST COMPONENT = 1.
    M = N

```

```

L2I 1940
L2I 1950
L2I 1960
L2I 1970
L2I 1980
L2I 1990
L2I 2000
L2I 2010
L2I 2020
L2I 2030
L2I 2040
L2I 2050
L2I 2060
L2I 2070
L2I 2080
L2I 2090
L2I 2100
L2I 2110
L2I 2120
L2I 2130
L2I 2140
L2I 2150
L2I 2160
L2I 2170
L2I 2180
L2I 2190
L2I 2200
L2I 2210
L2I 2220
L2I 2230
L2I 2240
L2I 2250
L2I 2260
L2I 2270
L2I 2280
L2I 2290
L2I 2300
L2I 2310
L2I 2320
L2I 2330
L2I 2340
L2I 2350
L2I 2360
L2I 2370
L2I 2380
L2I 2390
L2I 2400
L2I 2410
L2I 2420
L2I 2430
L2I 2440
L2I 2450
L2I 2460
L2I 2470
L2I 2480
L2I 2490
L2I 2500
L2I 2510
L2I 2520
L2I 2530
L2I 2540
L2I 2550
L2I 2560
L2I 2570
L2I 2580
L2I 2590
L2I 2600
L2I 2610
L2I 2620
L2I 2630
L2I 2640
L2I 2650
L2I 2660
L2I 2670
L2I 2680

```

410 CONTINUE	LZI 2690
SS = 0.	LZI 2700
DO 420 I=1,N	LZI 2710
R = ABS(REAL(X(I,M))) + ABS(AIMAG(X(I,M)))	LZI 2720
IF (R.LT.SS) GO TO 420	LZI 2730
SS = R	LZI 2740
D = X(I,M)	LZI 2750
420 CONTINUE	LZI 2760
IF (SS.EQ.0.0) GO TO 440	LZI 2770
DO 430 I=1,N	LZI 2780
X(I,M) = X(I,M)/D	LZI 2790
430 CONTINUE	LZI 2800
440 M = M - 1	LZI 2810
IF (M.GT.0) GO TO 410	LZI 2820
RETURN	LZI 2830
END	LZI 2840